IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1035 /2004

PETITIONERS

1. Smt Prem Bai, widow of Late Bhagat Ram, aged about 52 years, resident of village and post – Sakareli, Via – Baradwar, District – Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh)

2. Raghunandan Prasad, son of Late Bhagat Ram, aged about 15 years (Minor), through : natural guardian : Mother (Petitioner No.1), resident of village and post – Sakareli, Via – Baradwar, District – Janjgir-Champa (Chhattisgarh)

P. R. No. 1037/04
Presented by Shri Raghunandan Prasad
dated 08.04.04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The Union of India, through : Ministry of Labour, New Delhi.

2. The Central Provident Fund Commissioner, New Delhi.

3. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, Regional office, Near Navbharat Press, Rajbandha Maidan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

4. The Regional Provident Fund Commissioner, State of Madhya Pradesh, Regional Office – Indore (Madhya Pradesh)

RECEIVED COPY
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

Received Copy
Shiv Yadav
08.04.04
Clerk to Shri
VINAY HARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

(2)
(4)

5. The Officer on Special Duty, Office of N.M.D.C., Shankar Nagar Road, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

6. The Enforcement Officer, Employees Provident Fund Office, Near Chhattisgarh Bhawan, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(23)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 1035/04

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

27-4-2004

Shri A.N. Bhakta, Adv. for the petitioners.

Shri Vinay Harit, Sr. Standing counsel for Union of India.

Shri Yashwant Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State.

Urgent hearing is allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to non-realization and payment of amount of Employees Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1952. He submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered and decided on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 15 days, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.W.P. No. 950/2004 also stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

पीछे देखिये